**Manuscript ID:**
IJRSEAS-2026-030212

OPEN ACCESS

Quick Response Code:

**Website:** https://eesrd.us



**DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21278817**

**DOI Link:**
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21278817

**Volume:** 3

**Issue:** 2

**Pp.** 63-68

**Month:** April

**Year:** 2026

**E-ISSN:** 3066-0637

***Submitted: 07 Mar. 2026***

***Revised: 16 Mar. 2026***

***Accepted: 08 Apr. 2026***

***Published: 30 Apr. 2026***

***Address for correspondence:***
Dr. Sunil. B. Khatr
Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Accountancy and Finance, Sonopant Dandekar Arts, V. S. Apte Commerce & M.H. Mehta Science College, Palghar
Email: khatri.sunil90@gmail.com

***How to cite this article:***
*Khatri, S. B., & Rajput, V. (2026). A Study of the Companies Act, 2013 and Its Implications in India. International Journal of Research Studies on Environment, Earth, and Allied Sciences, 3(2), 63–68. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21278817*

# A Study of the Companies Act, 2013 and Its Implications in India

**Dr. Sunil. B. Khatri[1], Varsha Rajput[2]**
[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Accountancy and Finance,
Sonopant Dandekar Arts, V. S. Apte Commerce & M.H. Mehta Science College, Palghar
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce and Management Studies,
Sonopant Dandekar Arts, V. S. Apte Commerce & M.H. Mehta Science College, Palghar

***Abstract***

*Corporate India is still developing quickly and is seeing the rise of a wide range of stakeholders in addition to propelling industrial expansion. The benefits of governance appear to be being negatively impacted by the rise in natural consequences like risk and default. Nearly a million businesses are registered in the countries nowadays that are seeking increasingly outside of their own borders to access financial and human capital pools and form partnerships with international businesses. India is attracting the attention of international investors as a desirable place to invest. Under such circumstances, the government is obligated to give corporations an efficient legal framework. Good governance and robust investor protection are essential to any country's financial development. The newly passed Companies Act, 2013 is a historic law that will have a significant impact on all Indian-incorporated businesses. The Company's Act of 1956 is being replaced by the New Companies Act of 2013. The New Companies Act of 2013 establishes extensive regulations for both listed and unregistered businesses in the nation. With the implementation of 98 provisions and the removal of the pertinent sections that matched with the Companies Act of 1956, the New Companies Act of 2013 became partially operative on September 12, 2013. In its whole, the Act aims to address essential topics including director duty, internal control, fraud mitigation, investor protection, inclusive agenda, and effective restructuring. Additionally, the Act aims to harmonize with worldwide standards in several areas. Indian Businesses must carefully consider these changes to create a clear plan for guaranteeing adherence to the new specifications. The current study examines the effects of the corporate governance changes implemented by the 2013 Companies Act.*

***Keywords:*** *Corporate, Companies Act, Governance, Efficient Restructuring, Fraud mitigation, and Investor Protection.*

## Introduction

In today's international business and regulatory worlds, the phrase "corporate governance" is all the rage. Given its pervasiveness, it is considered one of the most significant business aspects by the government, regulators, corporations, boards, markets, workers, investors, and nearly every member of society trait. The global financial crisis, wave of privatization, activity and integration in the capital markets, increased investment levels, increased stakeholder awareness, and the need to survive and prosper in unpredictable times are all driving the evolution of new governance approaches worldwide. Governments and legislators throughout the world are working to promote and spread knowledge of best practices for voluntary adoption while enacting laws pertaining to good governance. The goal of regulators is to discourage non-compliance. By creating corporate governance structures and procedures with a strong focus on risk management, more transparency, and increased stakeholder involvement, industry and ethical corporations are continuously aiming for exemplary corporate conduct.

WorldCom failed due to dubious business practices during the recent scandals that rocked the corporate world and brought down large corporations like Enron. India has had scams as well; the Satyam affair is believed to have been the first of its sort. Despite changes in the corporate. Although governance has been in place since the 1990s, it was not until the Satyam affair revealed obvious flaws in the nation's auditing procedures and governance framework that current legislation was sparked. In a nation where most firms are typified by concentrated ownership and capital channeling, the government took action to tighten the corporate governance framework with the Companies Act of 2013. By putting the responsibility for overseeing the Board's operations and defending the interests of minority shareholders on independent directors, the Act promotes good governance practices. The business sector is essential to India's economic growth. A contemporary and all-encompassing corporate legal framework became crucial because of company growth, globalization, and greater investor engagement.

India's corporate regulatory framework underwent a dramatic change when the Companies Act, 1956 was replaced with the Companies Act, 2013. The Companies Act, 2013 is seen by academics and professors as more than just a legislation that regulates businesses; it is an essential teaching tool that combines ethics, governance, law, sustainability, and compliance. The Act is extremely pertinent to senior college and postgraduate commerce and management education as it considers current business realities and international best practices.

**Objective of Study:**

- To examine the key elements of the Companies Act of 2013 and how it has changed within the Indian corporate law system
- To examine how the Companies Act of 2013 affects corporate governance in India
- To investigate the function of board committees, board structure, and independent directors under the Act
- To evaluate how well the Act has improved accountability, transparency, and investor protection
- To research the legislative reaction to corporate fraud, with a focus on governance improvements
- To assess the Companies Act of 2013's applicability from a teaching and academic standpoint
- To provide recommendations for the Act's efficient application and observance

**Research Methodology:**

For this study, a descriptive and analytical research technique was chosen. The whole study's foundation is secondary data. Information has been gathered from government papers, committee reports, published articles, research journals, company law textbooks, and reliable websites. Academic literature, corporate governance reports, and court rulings have all been consulted for analysis. Interpreting legislative laws and critically assessing governance improvements are two aspects of the research.

**Scope of the Study:**

The study's focus is restricted to analyzing the main clauses and governance-related changes made by India's Companies Act of 2013. The study focuses on the academic and practical ramifications of corporate governance, board structure, committees, investor protection, and audit methods. A thorough empirical investigation by firm is not within the purview of this study.

**What Constitutes Good Governance?**

A collection of procedures, guidelines, and systems that guarantee a business is run in the best interests of all parties involved is known as corporate governance. It is the framework that guides and regulates businesses. Its goal is to advance corporate responsibility, transparency, and equity. Stated differently, "good" Corporate governance" is just "good business." It guarantees:

- Adequate disclosures and efficient decision-making to meet company goals;
- Transparency in business dealings;
- Adherence to legal and statutory requirements;
- Safeguarding the interests of stakeholders and shareholders; and
- Dedication to moral business practices and principles.

By increasing company performance and responsibility, corporate governance aims to safeguard the interests of other stakeholders and increase shareholder value. Therefore, it balances the necessity for a business to always achieve a balance between the requirement to increase shareholders' wealth while not harming the interests of the other firm stakeholders in any manner. Additionally, it aims to foster an atmosphere of confidence and trust among people with opposing and conflicting interests. It involves upholding moral principles, doing business in an ethical manner, and distinguishing between personal and corporate cash when managing a corporation. Conflicting interests amongst the people concerned give rise to ethical quandaries. In this sense, managers base their choices on a set of principles that are impacted by the organization's values, setting, and culture. Business benefits from ethical leadership as the company is perceived as operating in accordance with the expectations of all stakeholders.

**Satyam Scandal – The Country's Biggest Corporate Governance Failure:**

On January 7, 2009, the chairman of Satyam Software Services Ltd., the nation's fourth-largest IT exporter, admitted to massive fraud to talking more than 7000 crores. It was the largest instance of corporate governance failure, exposing serious flaws in the company's accounting and auditing procedures as well as the ineffectiveness of a system that depends on a board made up of independent directors to oversee how the business operates. Satyam claimed to have six highly qualified independent directors on its board, yet none of them ever questioned the chairman's actions or even looked for the widespread fraud in the books of accounts until the chairman revealed it. Large sums of fictitious interest accrued, exaggerated debtors, and underestimated liabilities were all included in Satyam's records. Following the discovery of this fraud, the government moved quickly: senior audit partners of the international audit firm Price Waterhouse Coppers were imprisoned due to their close collaboration with the promoter; the CBI, SFIO (Serious Fraud Investigation Office), and the Securities Exchange Commission of the United States (SEC) conducted investigations; and chairman B. Ramalinga Raja, who single-handedly orchestrated the fraud, and his brother were arrested. The government-appointed nominees who took over Satyam's board were able to resolve the issue by awarding Tech Mahindra the company's operations through a worldwide bidding procedure. The episode of Satyam highlighted a Numerous issue with corporate governance include unethical behavior, insider trading, fraudulent accounting, the dubious role of the auditors and audit committee, an inefficient board that was chosen by the promoter, the failure of independent directors, the failure to disclose the promoter's share pledge, an untrustworthy credit rating system, and several others.

**Companies Act, 2013-Redefining Legislative Framework:**

India has advanced from having 9.5 lakh businesses in 1956 to over one million businesses now. The Indian economy is growing, Indian businesses are using resources abroad, and foreign investors are active in the nation eager to realize its unrealized potential. To bolster the integrity of India's. A robust regulatory framework was necessary to open capital markets and make it a desirable place to invest. Consequently, the Companies Bill, 2009 was presented to the Lok Sabha and signed into law by the president on August 29, 2013. The goal of the government was to provide a framework for the administration of corporate entities, simplify the law, and offer principles encompassing all facets of corporate governance in a single piece of legislation.

**The following are the primary goals of the New Companies Act of 2013:**

1. Introducing Adaptability and Using Globally Recognized Practices
2. Effective defense of various societal segments
3. Self-regulation using additional information
4. Severe Penalties for Violations
5. Effective legal enforcement
6. The Indian economy is growing healthily.

Among other things, the Act emphasizes sound corporate governance by expanding the Board's duties and obligations, safeguarding the interests of shareholders, instituting a disclosure-based framework, and establishing self-regulation as a means of deterrent. The 2013 Act fundamentally alters corporate governance. Within We examine the changes in corporate governance brought about by the current Act in the part that follows.

1. **Independent Director**

IDs were not required under the 1956 Act. However, in accordance with the Listing Agreement, the executive chairman and non-executive chairman of the board of listed businesses must be at least one-third and half of the Board as ID, in turn.

2. **Number of Independent Directors**

In contrast to Clause 49, which mandates at least 50% IDs in cases where the chairperson is in an executive capacity, a promoter, or related to a promoter, the 2013 Act suggests that the Board of listed entities should have at least one-third of the Board as ID. This is a dilution from the current position.

**Definition of Independence**

There has been a significant tightening of the definition of an ID. Positive quality of independence that were left out of Clause 49—which said that the applicant must be "a person of integrity and possess the relevant expertise and experience" in the board's opinion—are now included in the definition. Every ID is also required to declare that he or she meets the criteria of independence.

**Appointment**

The fact that IDs are appointed in the same manner as other directors, giving promoters significant control over the IDs' identities, is one of the main objections to the existing system. Making a nomination and compensation committee necessary has partially solved that (a break from article 49 that does not require a committee for nominations. Candidates for appointment as IDs must be evaluated by the committee and recommended to the board. The Act envisions the creation of an ID database from which businesses may choose individuals.

**Tenure**

Minimum tenure criteria have been established to make sure that IDs stay independent and do not get too close to the promoters and management. The first term will be five years, after which a special resolution of the shareholders will be needed for any additional director appointments. But the overall tenure cannot be more than two terms in a row.

**Liability**

IDs are only eligible to receive fees for attending board meetings and potentially commissions under the Act. within certain bounds. The Act specifically prohibits IDs from acquiring stock options in businesses. Roles and Functions A code outlining the roles, responsibilities, and obligations of IDs is contained in Schedule IV of the Act, together with incidental laws pertaining to their appointment, resignation, and assessment.

**Board Functioning:**

**Appointment of Board**

According to the 2013 Act, the firm can only have a maximum of 15 directors on the board; any additional directors would need special resolution approval from the shareholders. by including diversity, the Act seeks to ensure efficient operation and a broader range of viewpoints on the Board. The Act allows for the nomination of at least for the class or classes of enterprises that may be specified, the board must have at least one female director. At least one director of a firm must have visited India for a minimum of 102 days during the preceding calendar year. There were no professional or academic requirements for directors under the 1956 Act. According to the 2013 Act, the majority of the Audit Committee's members, including the Chairperson, must be able to read and comprehend the financial statements. The 2013 Act defines the directors' responsibilities for the first time.

**Disqualification of directors**

The following additional grounds of disqualification are included in the 2013 Act: A person who has been found guilty of a related party transaction crime at any point in the previous five years. Additionally, directorships in private firms are now subject to disqualification for failing to file annual financial records or yearly reports during any three-year period in which there has been no repayment of deposits for more than a year. This increases the examination of instructions and related party transaction checks.

**Number of Directorships**

The 1956 Act allowed for a maximum of 15 corporations to have directors, except foreign companies, private companies, unlimited companies, Section 25 companies, and substitute directors. According to the 2013 Act, an individual is not permitted to have directorships, including alternative directorships, in more than Twenty businesses, ten of which are publicly traded.

**Restriction on power of Board**

Only with the members' approval through a special resolution may the Board act on specific stipulated subjects. Previously not relevant under the 1956 Act, this is now also applicable to private firms.

**Board reports and responsibility statement**

To provide openness to the Board's operations, the 2013 Act aims to make the report more informative with several extra disclosures. Among the crucial disclosures are: a declaration outlining the creation and execution of the company's risk management policy; internal financial controls to be adhered to by the listed firm, including if they are sufficient and functioning efficiently; related party transactions that are not conducted at arm's length or in the regular course of business, etc. The makeup of an audit committee and any recommendations made by the committee that the Board has rejected, together with the reasons why, must be published by the Board.

**Committees of the board**

**a. Nomination and Remuneration Committee**

The establishment of a Nomination and Remuneration Committee was not covered under the 1956 Act. A Remuneration Committee may be established by listed firms in accordance with the Listing Agreement under a non-mandatory provision. According to the 2013 Act, the Board of Directors of each publicly traded firm must serve as the Nomination & Remuneration Committee made up of three or more non-executive directors, at least half of whom must be independent directors. Such a committee will find individuals who meet the requirements to serve as directors, suggest to the Board that they be appointed or removed, conduct performance reviews of them, and make sure that their compensation strikes the best possible balance between fixed and variable components. According to the Act, publicly traded corporations must provide in the Board's report the ratio of each director's compensation to the median employee's compensation, together with any other information that may be required. This will bring about openness in the disclosure of compensation practices.

**b. Stakeholder Relationship committee**

The establishment of a Stakeholders Relationship Committee was not mandated under the 1956 Act. To specifically address investor complaints regarding things like share transfers, balance sheet non-receipt, declared dividend non-receipt, etc., Clause 49 currently mandates the creation of a "Shareholders/Investors Grievance Committee," chaired by a nonexecutive director. The 2013 Act's Clause 178 requires that a A Stakeholders Relationship Committee must be established by any firm with more than 1000 shareholders, debenture holders, deposit holders, and other security holders at any point throughout the fiscal year to address and settle the complaints of the company's security holders. In addition to equity investors, this will safeguard the interests of other stakeholders.

**c. Audit Committee**

The 1956 Act mandated that public corporations with paid-up capital exceeding Rs 5 crore form an audit committee, with a minimum of three directors and two-thirds of the total members being directors other than the company's Managing Director ("MD") or Whole Time Director ("WTD"). Additionally, Clause 49 mandates listed organizations must form an audit committee, with IDs making up two-thirds of the members. The 2013 Act mandates that listed firms and other specified groups of enterprises have audit committees. According to the Act, an audit committee must include at least three directors, with Ids making up the majority. According to the 2013 Act, the audit committee's duties include the following.

a) The suggestion about the employment, compensation, and terms of the company's auditors. b) assess and track the auditor's performance and independence, as well as the efficacy of the audit process; c) go over the financial statement and the auditors' report thereon; d) approval or any subsequent modification of the company's transactions with related parties; f) examination of intercorporate loans and investments; g) assessment of internal financial controls and risk management systems; h) tracking the final use of funds raised through public offers and related matters. Important adjustments to guarantee sound governance include risk management and the Audit Committee's preapproval of related party transactions. Currently, when such transactions have occurred, the audit committee periodically examines RPTs. These assessments are useless since, even if the Audit Committee has an unfavorable judgement about a transaction, it cannot be reversed.

**d. Corporate Social Responsibility Committee**

By requiring some businesses to allocate at least two percent of their average net income from the previous three fiscal years to government-approved CSR categories, India has become the first nation in the world to make CSR a legal mandate. It is anticipated that this action would significantly enhance the social well-being of the nation and is hailed as a noteworthy corporate governance action. A corporation is not required to establish a CSR Committee since the 1956 Act did not oblige it to invest in CSR initiatives. According to the 2013 Act, any business with a net worth of at least Rs. 500 crores, a turnover of at least Rs. 1000 crore, or a net profit of at least Rs. 5 crores during any fiscal year must establish a CSR Committee of the Board with a minimum of three directors (at least one independent director). that will develop, suggest, and keep track of CSR initiatives and the funds allocated to them for the benefit of the board. The committee will draft a report outlining the CSR initiatives carried out and, if not, the reasons for noncompliance.

**Audit and Auditors**

The financial accounts are given credibility by auditors, and their questionable behavior has been a common thread in all the global accounting crises. The Act establishes strict guidelines for auditors' practices and liabilities to provide them with more control.

**Related Party Transactions**

Since a third of Indian businesses are family-owned, there is a chance that money might be transferred to connected parties. The promoter's plan to purchase two of Satyam's family-controlled businesses was the catalyst for the company's demise as well. This erodes investor's confidence and undermines the integrity of capital formation The Act establishes strict guidelines to prevent abusive related party transactions. The Act of 2013 In contrast to the 1956 Act's definition of "relative," the 2013 Act defines "related party" for the first time. The Board and shareholders are not required to specifically approve related party transactions under the 1956 Act or the Listing Agreement. Listed firms must, however, provide the audit committee with a list of related party transactions and other pertinent data as required by the Listing Agreement. According to the 2013 Act, any linked party transactions that are not conducted at arm's length or in the regular course of business shall be approved by the Board. Additionally, the Act recommends that no contract, agreement, or transaction above the stipulated amount may be entered into with a related party for firms with the prescribed share capital unless the company's shareholders accept it through a special resolution.

**E-Governance Initiatives**

The 2013 Act particularly acknowledges electronic voting by members in order to guarantee good governance and the involvement of all shareholders in voting procedures. Video conferencing participation in board meetings has been acknowledged. upkeep and permitting businesses to examine papers in the electronic form registration procedure is now more efficient and e-governance compliant.

**Prohibition of Insider Trading**

There was no provision against insider selling under the Companies Act of 1956. In India, SEBI has established insider trading regulations. Under the 2013 Act, a new provision prohibiting insider dealing in securities has been included. There is also a notion of price-sensitive information. Insider trading is prohibited for anybody, including directors and KMPs of a firm, except for communications necessary in the common practice in a business, profession, or job, or as required by law. This is a step toward harmonizing the 2013 Act with the SEBI Act, particularly for listed businesses. Violators will face a cash fine, jail time, or both.

**Penalties**

The 2013 Act suggests severe sanctions for directors who fail to fulfil their responsibilities. It has been seen that the number of cases where fines are imposed has also significantly grown. In addition to the clauses, the law includes additional definitions for Associate. Although information about companies, one-person companies, small companies, related parties, turnover, securities, dividends, incorporation, mergers and acquisitions, and accounting, among other topics, has been supplied, it is not included in this paper because of its scope.

**Conclusions and Suggestions:**

"Excellent results, increased revenue, and a wider audience—nothing would protect a business when ethics and governance are neglected. "In India, the idea of corporate governance has been gaining popularity for a while Corporate governance is become a global concern. The company has a crucial part to play in social advancement and economic growth. It is the global growth engine and is becoming more in charge of infrastructure, public and commercial services, jobs, and products. Corporate accountability and efficiency are increasingly issues of both public and private concern, and as a result, governance has risen to the top of the global agenda.

Thus, the government's newly passed Companies Act, 2013 might be considered a significant piece of legislation. Numerous clauses addressing the independence of directors, auditors, stringent disclosure requirements, and investor protection will have far-reaching effects and increase accountability and transparency in the operation of the business while also reducing the number of corporate fraud cases. Businesses and stakeholders should begin assessing their current situation in comparison to what is needed under the new regime and adjust their plans appropriately. The Act is forward-looking and comparable to best practices from throughout the world. Like all other laws, this one will only be effective if it is put into practice. To guarantee that the requirements are implemented smoothly, the Ministry of Corporate Affairs should provide circulars and explanations. Since the ultimate benefit of corporate governance resides beyond compliance, corporations should make sure they adhere to the law both literally and spiritually.

**Acknowledgment**

I express my sincere gratitude to all those who have supported and guided me in the successful completion of this research work titled "A Study of the Companies Act, 2013 and Its Implications in India."

I am deeply indebted to my respected guide, Dr. Sunil B. Khatri, Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Accountancy and Finance, for his invaluable guidance, constant encouragement, and insightful suggestions throughout the course of this study. His expertise and motivation have been instrumental in shaping this research.

**Financial support and sponsorship**
Nil.

**Conflicts of interest**
The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

**References:**
1. Companies Act – 2013 New Rules of The game, A Deloitte and ASSOCHAM Report
2. Companies Act, 2013 available at mca.gov.in
3. Sharma, J.P , Corporate Governance, Business Ethics & CSR ( Anne Publications, 2011) 4. Understanding Companies Bill 2013: Analysis of Accounting, Auditing and Corporate Governance changes- Ernst & Young
4. Companies Bill 2011 – Independent Directors available at www.mondaq.com
5. Analysis of Companies Bill, 2011 – Corporate Law Update, Dec 2012
6. Desirable Corporate Governance- A code – Confederation of Indian Industries ( CII) available at www.ciionline.org
7. "A Board culture of Corporate Governance", corporate Governance International Journal, Vol-6, Issue-3 (2003).
8. ICWAI, "The Management Accountant, Student Edition", July 07, Nov 07 & Dec 07
9. ICAI, "The Chartered Accountant, Student's Journal", December 2013 Vol SJ4 Issue 11. Companies Act 2013-Raising the bar on Governance, A KPMG Advisory Report.