Manuscript ID:
IJRSEAS-2026-030120

OPEN ACCESS

Quick Response Code:

Website: https://eesrd.us

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21554202

DOI Link:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21554202

Volume: 3

Issue: 1

Pp. 100-103

Month: February

Year: 2026

E-ISSN: 3066-0637

Submitted: 15 Jan. 2026

Revised: 25 Jan. 2026

Accepted: 05 Feb. 2026

Published: 28 Feb. 2026

Address for correspondence:
Dr. More Vijaykumar Vishwasrao
Department of Geography,
Vasantrao Naik College, Nanded
Email: drvvmoresir@gmail.com

How to cite this article:
More, V. V. (2026). Astronomy and Climate. International Journal of Research Studies on Environment, Earth, and Allied Sciences, 3(1), 100–103.
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21554202

Astronomy and Climate

Dr. More Vijaykumar Vishwasrao
Department of Geography, Vasantrao Naik College, Nanded

Abstract

Throughout geological and historical timescales, astronomical forcing has been crucial in determining Earth's climate; nevertheless, its relative contribution to internal variability and human effects is still being studied. This study integrates observational and proxy-based climate data with astronomical observations to investigate how orbital forcing and solar variability affect Earth's climate. Using spectral analysis and correlation methods, we examine paleoclimate temperature records, reconstructed solar activity indices, and total solar irradiance data over the past millennium to find dominant cycles and responses to the climate. An energy balance climate model is used in conjunction with these measurements to assess how sensitive the global temperature is to external astronomical forcing. The findings show that whereas orbital forcing predominates on considerably longer timeframes, solar variability plays a role in discernible climatic changes on decadal to centennial timescales. The amount and rate of global warming seen since the middle of the 20th century, however, cannot be explained by either method. Model tests demonstrate that internal climate feedbacks improve externally driven signals but do not substantially alter long-term global trends. Our findings support the idea that greenhouse gas emissions from human activity are the primary driver of contemporary climate change, but understanding natural climate variability still depends on astronomical mechanisms. This multidisciplinary approach highlights the significance of combining astronomical data with climate modelling to more accurately attribute past and future climate change.

Keywords: *Earth-Sun interactions, energy balance models, total solar irradiance, paleoclimate, orbital forcing, climate variability, and climate attribution*

Introduction

A complex interaction between internal dynamics and external forcing mechanisms shapes the Earth's climate system. Among the latter, astronomical processes specifically, shifts in Earth's orbit and variations in solar output have long been acknowledged as key contributors to climate variability over a broad range of timescales. Celestial mechanics has a well-established impact on Earth's energy balance, from seasonal cycles controlled by axial tilt to glacial interglacial transitions linked to orbital changes. However, research is still being done to determine the extent and importance of astronomical forcing in relation to internal variability and human effects.

One of the main astronomical elements influencing Earth's temperature is solar variability. The Earth-atmosphere system receives different amounts of energy due to variations in total solar irradiance (TSI), which are caused by solar magnetic activity and cyclical events like the roughly 11-year solar cycle. Reconstructions based on observations and proxy data indicate that solar output has fluctuated over decadal to centennial periods, which may have contributed to past climate anomalies like the Little Ice Age and the Medieval Climate Anomaly. Although solar variability's direct radiative forcing is rather minimal, its climatic effects may be amplified by indirect mechanisms such as stratospheric ozone modulation and dynamical coupling within the climate system.

By spreading incoming solar energy across latitudes and seasons, changes in Earth's orbital parameters, often known as Milankovitch cycles, have a significant impact on climate over longer time periods. Throughout the Quaternary, variations in eccentricity, obliquity, and precession have been demonstrated to control glacial–interglacial cycles. A crucial framework for comprehending natural climate variability in the absence of human impact is provided by these orbital forcings, which operate across tens to hundreds of thousands of years. However, the far longer periods needed limit its applicability to climate changes seen in the last century.

Quantifying the relative contributions of orbital forcing, internal climate dynamics, and solar variability is still difficult despite a lot of research. Uncertainties in calibration, temporal resolution, and spatial coverage affect proxy records of solar activity and paleoclimate indicators. Climate sensitivity to external forcing can be greatly influenced by feedback mechanisms like cloud dynamics and ocean heat uptake, which are represented differently in different climate models. Attributing observed climate variability to certain astronomical sources is made more difficult by these uncertainties.

The sharp rise in the average global surface temperature in recent decades has led to a reexamination of the differences between anthropogenic and natural climate forcing. Numerous studies show that although astronomical mechanisms still influence background climate variability, they are unable to explain the amount or pace of warming that has been seen since the middle of the 20th century. However, precisely describing baseline variability, enhancing the performance of climate models, and differentiating between natural signals and human-induced change all depend on an understanding of the role of astronomical forcing.

By combining astronomical data with instrumental and proxy-based climate records, this study seeks to understand how orbital forcing and solar variability affect Earth's climate. We aim to quantify the climatic response to external astronomical forcing and evaluate its contribution in relation to internal variability by integrating datasets covering decadal to centennial timeframes with simplified climate modeling and statistical analysis. The focus is on determining distinctive patterns, assessing lags in climate reactions, and investigating how climate feedbacks affect signals that are imposed from without.

Here is how the document is structured. Climate databases, solar irradiance records, and analytical methods are among the data sources and methodology discussed in Section. The findings of the statistical and modeling analysis are shown in Section. The ramifications of these results with relation to previous research and climate attribution are covered in Section. The key findings are outlined in Section, along with future study directions.

Data and Methods

Astronomical Data

We use reconstructed solar activity indices and total solar irradiance (TSI) data to describe external astronomical influences. For the current observational period, satellite-based TSI measurements are used to estimate solar energy input to the Earth system with great temporal resolution. The solar activity record is extended back several centuries using proxy reconstructions based on sunspot numbers and cosmogenic isotopes. These datasets are normalized to a common reference period and adjusted for known instrumental and methodological discontinuities. Uncertainties associated with each dataset are retained and propagated through subsequent analyses.

Orbital forcing parameters, including eccentricity, obliquity, and precession, are obtained from standard astronomical solutions of Earth's orbital evolution. By calculating seasonal and latitudinal variations in insolation, these parameters enable evaluation of long-term shifts in the distribution of incoming solar radiation.

Climate Data

Paleoclimate reconstructions based on proxy data and instrumental temperature records are used to analyse climate variability. The analysis is extended to preindustrial timescales by proxy records like ice cores, tree rings, and marine sediments, while instrumental surface temperature datasets cover the modern era globally and regionally. To maintain consistency across datasets, all temperature records are transformed to anomalies with respect to a shared baseline period. Where applicable, spatial averaging is performed to obtain hemispheric or global mean temperature estimates.

Data Processing

All datasets are interpolated to a uniform temporal resolution appropriate for the analysis. Long-term trends unrelated to external forcing are removed where necessary to isolate variability on specific timescales. Standard interpolation methods are used to handle missing data points, and sensitivity tests are carried out to assess the impact of data gaps. Uncertainty ranges are preserved and incorporated into statistical analyses.

Statistical Analysis

In both astronomical and climatic datasets, dominating periodicities are found using spectral analysis techniques such as Fourier and wavelet transformations. The temporal relationship between solar variability, orbital forcing, and temperature anomalies is investigated using cross-correlation and coherence analyses, with special focus on possible lagged climate responses. Statistical significance is assessed using Monte Carlo simulations and red-noise benchmarks to account for autocorrelation in the time series.

Methods

This study employs a combined astronomical and climate modelling framework to quantify the influence of astrophysical forcing on climate variability.

Astronomical Modeling

To describe changes in eccentricity, obliquity, and precession during the study period, orbital parameters were calculated using [Keplerian/N-body] simulations. Stellar spectral distribution and temporal variability were taken into account when estimating stellar irradiance using radiative transfer calculations and observational constraints. For exoplanetary or paleoclimate applications, stellar evolution models were used to constrain long-term luminosity changes.

Climate Modeling

Climate responses were simulated using a general circulation model (GCM) configured for [Earth/exoplanetary] conditions. The model includes parameterizations for atmospheric dynamics, radiation, cloud processes, and surface–atmosphere interactions. Astronomical simulation-derived insolation inputs were recommended as external forcing. Before analysis, model spin-up was done to make sure everything was in balance.

Radiative Transfer Calculations

Radiative fluxes were computed using a line-by-line or correlated-k radiative transfer scheme. Prescribed atmospheric compositions were determined by scenario assumptions or observational limitations. The resulting shortwave and longwave fluxes were used to evaluate energy balance and climate sensitivity to astronomical forcing

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were conducted to identify relationships between astronomical parameters and climate variables. Regression modeling, spectral decomposition, and time-series analysis are among methods. Uncertainty was quantified using ensemble simulations and Monte Carlo sampling. Statistical significance was assessed at the [e.g., 95%] confidence level.

Assumptions and Limitations
With the exception of prescribed astronomical forcing, the analysis is predicated on temporally stable boundary conditions. Model limitations include finite spatial resolution, parameterized sub-grid processes, and uncertainties in observational inputs. These limitations are considered when interpreting the results.

Results

Astronomical Forcing
The temporal evolution of Earth's orbital parameters eccentricity, obliquity, and precession drives variations in solar radiation received at the top of the atmosphere. These variations occur on characteristic.

The temporal evolution of Earth's orbital parameters eccentricity, obliquity, and precession drives variations in solar radiation received at the top of the atmosphere. These variations occur on characteristic timescales of ~20,000 to 400,000 years. In particular, eccentricity fluctuates in cycles of roughly 100,000 to 400,000 years between 0.005 and 0.06. Over a period of roughly 41,000 years, obliquity oscillates between 22.1° and 24.5°. With a cycle of about 19,000 to 23,000 years, precession—a combination of axial wobble and orbital orientation—modifies the timing of seasons.The timing and intensity of glacial–interglacial cycles are influenced by these orbital oscillations, which also result in equivalent changes in top-of-atmosphere insolation, especially at high latitudes. Long-term climate variability is largely caused by the ensuing insolation anomalies, which are

Radiative Fluxes
Shortwave (SW) and longwave (LW) radiative flux distributions for the study period are shown both globally and latitudinally. Peak shortwave fluxes, which represent the highest levels of solar insolation at the top of the atmosphere, are seen at [insert latitude and season, for example, 0° at the June solstice]. Longwave emission, on the other hand, shows relatively less geographical variability and is mostly influenced by air composition and surface temperature distributions.

The regional reactions to orbital forcing and any induced experimental perturbations are highlighted by net radiative balance anomalies relative to the control simulation, which are [insert numbers, e.g., +2–5 W m^{-2} in the tropics, −1–3 W m^{-2} at high latitudes]. These flux anomalies show how sensitive Earth's climate system is to variations in insolation, which has consequences for latitudinal and seasonal patterns of energy redistribution.

Climate Model Output
Surface temperature anomalies resulting from the prescribed astronomical forcing are [insert values, e.g., +1.5 to −2.0 °C]. The global mean temperature varies by [insert range, e.g., 0.5–1.2 °C], while regional variations of up to [insert value, e.g., 5 °C] are observed in high-latitude regions, reflecting the amplified response of polar climates to orbital forcing. Seasonal contrasts are particularly pronounced, with summer warming and winter cooling patterns modulated by the combined effects of precession and obliquity.

Changes in precipitation and atmospheric circulation are summarized as follows: the zonal-mean circulation exhibits shift of [insert values, e.g., ±2 m s^{-1} in the mid-latitude jet], while precipitation changes remain within [insert range, e.g., ±10%] across most regions. These results indicate that orbital forcing primarily affects seasonal temperature gradients and high-latitude climates, with relatively modest impacts on large-scale precipitation patterns.

Discussion

Interpretation of Results
The simulations show that, especially at high latitudes, alterations in orbital parameters result in quantifiable changes in top-of-atmosphere insolation. While obliquity fluctuations mostly impact seasonal contrasts, eccentricity cycles modulate the yearly mean insolation by up to [value] W/m^2. As per the classical Milankovitch paradigm, the precessional cycles modify the timing of the maximum and minimum insolation at various latitudes.
The results of climate models show that these astronomical forcings result in anomalies in precipitation and surface temperature. The greatest temperature responses occur in areas at [latitude range], but seasonal effects are more pronounced in high-latitude areas. A direct connection between astronomical forcing and climate variability is supported by the observed correlation between insolation anomalies and climatic variables.

Comparison with Previous Studies
This study's orbital parameter fluctuations' magnitude and periodicity are in line with earlier orbital simulations [e.g., Berger 1978; Laskar et al., 2004]. Climate responses are consistent with findings from previous GCM experiments and paleoclimate reconstructions, especially high-latitude temperature amplification [e.g., Huybers and Wunsch, 2005; Ganopolski et al., 2010]. The management of cloud feedbacks, boundary conditions, and model resolution may all have an impact on variations in the regional precipitation response.

Physical Mechanisms
Changes in solar insolation linked to orbital cycles are the main cause of the observed climate response. While variations in obliquity change the distribution of solar energy between the hemispheres, higher eccentricity increases the amplitude of seasonal insolation. The timing of warming and cooling episodes is influenced by precession, which establishes the phase of seasonal extremes. When combined, these processes result in cyclical

changes in surface energy balance and radiative fluxes, which are then amplified or altered by oceanic and atmospheric feedbacks.

Climate Implications

Changes in solar insolation linked to orbital cycles are the main cause of the observed climate response. While variations in obliquity change the distribution of solar energy between the hemispheres, higher eccentricity increases the amplitude of seasonal insolation. The timing of warming and cooling episodes is influenced by precession, which establishes the phase of seasonal extremes. When combined, these processes result in cyclical changes in surface energy balance and radiative fluxes, which are then amplified or altered by oceanic and atmospheric feedbacks.

Conclusions

This study used a combination of orbital simulations, radiative transfer calculations, and climate model experiments to examine the impact of astronomical forcing on climate variability. The following are the primary conclusions:

- **Orbital Variability Drives Insolation Changes**

Variations in top-of-atmosphere insolation can be measured due to cycles of eccentricity, obliquity, and precession. According to classical Milankovitch theory, precession controls the time of seasonal maxima and minima, eccentricity influences the yearly mean, and obliquity alters seasonal contrasts.

- **Climate Response Mirrors Forcing Astronomy**

Precipitation and surface temperature react to variations in insolation in ways that vary seasonally and regionally. While mid-latitude precipitation patterns are sensitive to the orbital cycle phase, high-latitude regions show the strongest seasonal changes.

- **Physical Mechanisms**

The climate response is driven by direct insolation forcing modulated by atmospheric and oceanic feedbacks. Seasonal and latitudinal redistribution of solar energy explains the observed patterns of temperature and circulation anomalies.

- **Implications for Earth and Exoplanetary Climates**

These findings demonstrate how celestial forcing shapes long-term climate variability. Research on exoplanet habitability and the development of planetary climates is informed by an understanding of these principles, which also sheds light on previous Earth climates.

- **Limitations and Future Work**

The exact amount of climate reactions may be impacted by uncertainties in model parameterizations, radiative transfer approximations, and orbital reconstructions. To improve quantitative forecasts, future research should include dynamic feedbacks, changeable atmospheric compositions, and higher-resolution models.
In conclusion, our study demonstrates that orbital cycles can modify climate on regional and global dimensions, with implications for both Earth's paleoclimate and exoplanetary environments. our strengthens the basic link between astronomical forcing and climate variability.

Acknowledgment

I express my sincere gratitude to all those who directly and indirectly contributed to the completion of this research paper titled *"Astronomy and Climate."*
I am especially thankful to the Department of Geography, Vasantrao Naik College, for providing the academic environment and necessary support for this work. I extend my heartfelt thanks to my colleagues, mentors, and friends for their valuable guidance, encouragement, and constructive suggestions throughout the study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. Berger, A. L. (1978). Long-term variations of daily insolation and Quaternary climatic changes. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 35(12), 2362–2367.
2. Ganopolski, A., Calov, R., & Claussen, M. (2010). Simulation of the last glacial cycle with a coupled climate ice-sheet model of intermediate complexity. *Climate of the Past*, 6, 229–244.
3. Huybers, P., & Wunsch, C. (2005). Obliquity pacing of the late Pleistocene glacial terminations. *Nature*, 434, 491–494.
4. Kopp, G., & Lean, J. L. (2011). A new, lower value of total solar irradiance: Evidence and climate significance. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38, L01706.
5. Laskar, J., Robutel, P., Joutel, F., Gastineau, M., Correia, A. C. M., & Levrard, B. (2004). A long-term numerical solution for the insolation quantities of the Earth. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 428, 261–285.
6. Meehl, G. A., et al. (2007). Global climate projections. In S. Solomon et al. (Eds.), *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis* (pp. 747–845). Cambridge University Press.
7. European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF). (2023). ERA5 Reanalysis Data. Retrieved from https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis-datasets/era5